

## Your Portfolio and Your Tax Bill

We know that taxes are inevitably on your mind this time of year. In October, we wrote comprehensively about the tax law changes that would affect many of you; however, the rules keep changing and we thought a refresher was warranted.

In tax year 2013 income tax and capital gains taxes will increase for many of you. Single U.S. taxpayers who make more than \$400,000 and married couples who earn more than \$450,000 will find themselves in a higher tax bracket.

Previously, individuals with annual taxable income over these thresholds were subject to a 35% federal income tax, but in 2013 that new rate is 39.6%. The tax rate on capital gains and dividends has increased to 20% for U.S. taxpayers in the highest tax bracket. Taxpayers in any of the lower brackets will not be affected.

Additionally, in 2013 the 3.8% Affordable Care Act surcharge on unearned income from rent, royalties, interest, dividends, and some capital gains will apply to those of you who make more than \$200,000 per year and to married couples filing jointly who make more than \$250,000.

One of the impacts from the fiscal cliff legislation that has not received much attention (but should!) is the reintroduction of the Pease limitation, which reduces the amount of itemized deductions that certain taxpayers are allowed.

The infamous Pease limitation was first introduced in 1990 and it is named after former Congressman Donald Pease. The purpose of the Pease limitation was to raise revenue by limiting the most common itemized deductions among high-income earners. Pease limitations apply to the itemized deductions that are nearest and dearest to our hearts:

- Charitable contributions
- Mortgage interest
- State, local, and property taxes
- Miscellaneous itemized deductions

The limitation for 2013 will take effect on AGI levels that exceed \$300,000 for joint filers and \$250,000 for individuals, indexed for inflation. While other complicating factors persist, the quick math is that

For every \$100,000 you earn over the aforementioned thresholds, you will lose \$3,000 of itemized deductions.

In the presence of these new income tax headwinds, we thought it might make you feel better to consider the multiple layers of tax management that we employ in your portfolio.



In general, maximizing after-tax returns dictates that we hold broad-market equity portfolios, tax-managed equity funds, and municipal bond instruments in taxable accounts. Higher dividend-paying asset classes, taxable bond funds, and real estate investment trusts are largely held in tax-deferred accounts.

Asset location refers to which type of account (either taxable or tax-deferred) in which a client should hold each of their investments. After determining the appropriate asset allocation, we then focus on an optimized asset location, knowing that a rational investor seeks to maximize after-tax return.

*We thought it might make you feel better to consider the multiple layers of tax management that we employ in your portfolio...*

Beyond intelligent asset location we employ additional tax management strategies as part of our stewardship of your assets. In the equity portion of the portfolio we implement low-cost, tax-efficient, market-wide institutional funds with extremely low turnover (less than 5% in 2012). The result is that taxable dividends are almost entirely qualified due to the longer holding periods of the underlying stocks. By "qualified," we are referring to the IRS jargon that describes how some dividends qualify for

favorable tax treatment—while others, called ordinary dividends, are taxed as ordinary income at your highest marginal bracket.

The need to sell an investment in a taxable account also presents an opportunity for planning. When only a portion of a position is sold, the tax information that the custodian reports to the IRS may depend on which underlying “tax lots” are actually sold. For example, you may accumulate a position in a particular security over time, buying smaller quantities at different prices. A tax lot is a record of the amount, price, and date of each of these purchases. If you were to sell some of that position, the tax implications would depend on which of those lots are reported sold. The good news is that since the investor can elect to specify which lots are sold, Rockwood has another opportunity to incorporate prudent portfolio management with your overall tax picture.

Specifically, we have the capability to use “short-term tax sensitive” tax lot cost basis accounting in your portfolio. While it will mostly be transparent to everyone except your accountant, to efficiently manage taxes we have established the portfolio accounting structure to ensure that shares will be redeemed in an order that seeks to first tax-loss harvest and then avoid short-term capital gains when securities are sold at a gain.

Furthermore, we often utilize mutual funds that use tax lot accounting inside the funds themselves. The result is that tax implications are considered during the internal mutual fund rebalancing that is necessary to maintain our specific tilts toward small-cap and value stocks.

**An investor’s chance of outliving his or her money is reduced when we implement strategies designed to maximize total return potential rather than income-oriented strategies.** In addition to creating wealth more prudently, providing regular cash flows from your investment portfolio is best sourced from a combination of dividend and interest income and the harvesting of capital growth in the portfolio (especially within the current low interest rate environment).

Tax management is an oft-ignored yet tremendously important element of expert portfolio management. It requires that an advisor have an intimate

knowledge of his or her client’s tax picture and take the time to carefully and thoughtfully plan each transaction with respect to trade-offs between tax implication, risk, and the optimal investment strategy.

## How Are You Selecting Your News?

The following article was written by Nick Murray, an industry veteran who is a masterful deliverer of long-term perspective:

It’s axiomatic in the 24-hour cycle of financial “news” from a staggering variety of cable and web-based sources that all of us get immeasurably more financial information and opinion than we can possibly process. Almost unconsciously, then, we may tend to home in on news and commentary that are consistent with the conclusions to which we’re already temperamentally inclined. So the question becomes, what, if any, are the beliefs (or biases) that are dictating what gets through to us? In so many words, how are we selecting our news? And is it clear to us what that selection process is doing to our financial planning and investing decisions?

I’m a very long-term investor, and my most important goals are to be able to draw a lifestyle-sustaining income from my investments for the balance of my and my wife’s lifetimes and then to pass my portfolio—which is intended to keep growing even as we withdraw from it—to our children and grandchildren. My investment perspective is therefore measured in decades, and my portfolio is driven not by the “news” but by my goals.

Given those goals and this time horizon, I’m most influenced in my decision-making by long-term economic and market history, and especially by that during my lifetime. I was born on a day during World War II when the Standard & Poor’s stock index closed just under 12. This afternoon, it closed at 1,515, or about 125 times higher. The Consumer Price Index, meanwhile, is only up about 13 times, which gives me some insight into how effective equities have been, during my lifetime, at accreting real purchasing power.

But even that ignores dividends. The S&P Index’s dividend, which was running around 60 cents a year when I was born, is now at an annual rate of \$31.50,

up a bit more than 50 times. Looked at another way, the Index's current annual dividend is more than two and a half times what the whole Index was worth when I came into the world. If you're interested—and if I were you, I would be—the compound return (that is, with dividends reinvested) of the S&P Index in my lifetime is just over 11% per year.

Yet I assure you that my time on earth—starting with that inexpressibly violent world war itself—has been marked by a relentless series of crises and continues to be so. But as an investor, I seem to have grown somewhat inured to crisis, perhaps because all my life experience suggests that the great companies in America and the world have—at least historically—found ways to absorb, adjust to, and ultimately overcome political, economic, and financial crisis. Granted, this in no way ensures anything about the future. Rather—and this is my whole point—it explains my biases.

*...somewhat analogous to a weatherman going way out on a limb to predict that it will rain sometime during April...*

Given my bias to believe in the resilience of great companies, I can only marvel at what a rich variety of negative “news” and market commentary I’m offered every day. Why, in just five business days this past month, for example, I was treated by my financial website of choice, Yahoo! Finance, to lead articles with the following headlines: “The Economy Is Much Worse Than the Data Show”; “Stocks Are Set for a Possible Repeat of 1987! Says Marc Faber”; “Dying Dollar: Why US Currency Is in Danger”; “Investors Must Lower Their Expectations of Returns: Arnott”; and my very favorite, “Shrewd ‘Mystery Broker’ Expects a Market Setback.”

The last of these featured the prognostication by an unnamed stockbroker of a 5% to 10% correction, which I confess I found somewhat analogous to a weatherman going way out on a limb to predict that it will rain sometime during April, since the average intra-year market decline since 1946 has been about 14%. And for the fun of it, Google the title of Mr. Faber’s article and it will come up twice: once when he made this prediction this past month, and once

when he made the very same prediction—quite wrongly, as it turned out—back in May 2012.

I don’t know why financial journalism seems so hell-bent on scaring me out of the market with stuff like this. But given my biases—that is, given my goals and time horizon—I completely tune these articles out. I tend to focus instead on recent news that America is projected to become the world’s leading oil-producing country by 2020; that General Motors—which sold ten cars in the U.S. in 2004 for every one it sold in China—is currently selling about as many cars there as it does here; and that just a few days ago Warren Buffett’s Berkshire Hathaway and a partner bid \$28 billion to acquire H. J. Heinz Company at a price 19% higher than the stock’s previous all-time high. (I haven’t much in common with Mr. Buffett, except perhaps that we both seem to be tuning out the same “news” and opinion that the market is overvalued.)

Please don’t take these musings as any kind of prediction about the future. I would have no business making such a prediction and couldn’t support it—other than with past history, which in the end doesn’t prove anything—if I did. I’m simply suggesting that you may want to avail yourself of the sympathetic ear of your financial advisor as you talk through the very important question: how are you selecting your news?

© March 2013 Nick Murray. All rights reserved. Reprinted with permission.

## Take the World View Please

Which is more valuable: All the shares of ExxonMobil stock or the entire worth of the 270 companies traded on the Italian stock market?

If you guessed ExxonMobil, you have perhaps already intuited that investing in a handful of large U.S. companies or in purely market-cap-weighted strategies does not produce a robustly diverse portfolio. In fact, the ten largest U.S. companies in aggregate are larger than the entire stock market of any other country in the world. Academically diversified portfolios capture the universe of available equity investments with specific tilts toward dimensions of the market that are expected to produce higher long-term returns.

## World Market Capitalization



If it's been awhile since you have seen this cartogram, you will note that it depicts the world not according to land mass, but by the size of each country's stock market relative to the world's total market value.

Population, gross domestic product, exports, and other economic measures may influence where the news is made. But the map offers a different way to view the universe of equity investment opportunities. Markets are efficient, and global capital will migrate to destinations that offer the most attractive risk-adjusted expected returns. Therefore, the relative size and growth of equity markets may help in assessing the political, economic, and financial forces at work in these countries—not the other way around.

By focusing on investment metrics rather than on economic reports, the chart further reinforces the need for a disciplined, strategic approach to global asset allocation. Of course, the investment world is forever in motion, and these proportions will change over time as capital flows to markets that offer the most attractive returns. New in 2012, Israel has moved from being an emerging market to being a developed one, and Colombia, Egypt, and Peru have been added to the emerging markets available for investment.

The cartogram brings into sharp relief the investable opportunity of each country relative to the world. It avoids distortions that may be created or implied by news media attention to economic or fundamental statistics, such as population, consumption, trade balances, or GDP. **Not to worry—you can squint, but you won't find Cyprus.**

## Rockwood Wealth Management

6236 Lower York Road  
New Hope, PA 18938

200 Harry S. Truman Parkway, Suite 300  
Annapolis, MD 21401

Brian Booth, CFP®  
[bbooth@rockwoodwealth.com](mailto:bbooth@rockwoodwealth.com)

Ted Toal, CFP®  
[ted@rockwoodwealth.com](mailto:ted@rockwoodwealth.com)

John Augenblick, MBA, CFP®  
[john@rockwoodwealth.com](mailto:john@rockwoodwealth.com)

(267) 983.6400  
[www.RockwoodWealth.com](http://www.RockwoodWealth.com)

*Rockwood Wealth Management, LLC (RWM), a Pennsylvania limited liability company, is a fee-only wealth advisory firm specializing in personal financial planning and investment management. Rockwood Wealth Management, LLC, is a U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Registered Investment Advisor. A copy of RWM's Form ADV-Part II is provided to all clients and prospective clients and is available for review by contacting the firm.*

*Any performance data represents past performance. Past performance is no guarantee of future results, and current performance may be higher or lower than the performance displayed. The investment return and principal value of an investment will fluctuate such that an investor's shares may be worth more or less than their original cost.*